

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,468	03/01/2004	Richard Konig	HMM-002-1	9964
27833 TECHNOLOG	7590 09/24/200 GY, PATENTS AND LI		EXAM	IINER
2003 South EASTON ROAD			SAINT CYR, JEAN D	
SUITE 208 DOYLESTOV	VN. PA 18901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2425	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/790,468
 KONIG ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 JEAN D. SAINT CYR
 2425

	JEAN D. SAINT CYR	2425	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>JEAN D. SAINT CYR</u> .	(3)Andrew Spicer.		
(2) <u>Brian T Pendleton</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 September 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 28</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	) was not reached. h) ⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>During the interview, we attorney will plan to put his arguments on paper. Upon recessent out accordingly.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MFEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTELE A STATUENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTAN	discussed the final rejection was in a formal response, a new in the examiner agopy of the amendments that was the company of the amendments that was the company of the action has already of ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	reed would rend- would render the  SUBSTANCE ( been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM I WHICHEVER IS	andt. The ce will be er the claims claims  OF THE LICANT IS 'HIS LATER, TO
T	/Brian T. Pendleton/	nit 2425	